

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|--------------------|---|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/642,917 | 08/18/2003 | Heribert Lorenz | 101216-34 | 3649 | | |
| 27387 | 7590 05/05/2004 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| BRUCE L | | ELHILO | ELHILO, EISA B | | | |
| | MCLAUGHLIN & MARC 42ND STREET, 30TH FL | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| NEW YORK, NY 10017 | | | 1751 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

| | | | | | | _//_ | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------|------|--|--|--|
| | | Application | on No. | Applicant(s) | | W | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 10/642,91 | 7 | LORENZ ET AL. | | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Eisa B Elh | | 1751 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | | |
| THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with reply received by the Office later than three months after the part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than the provided by the Office later | ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenication. days, a reply within the state tory period will apply and will. by statute, cause the applement of | ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | y. ommunication. | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)[🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed | on 18 August 2003 | | | | | | | |
| - | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to The oath or declaration is objected to the specific product of the specific produ | a) accepted or b) ion to the drawing(s) the correction is requir | ne held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 C | | | | | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Information | nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P er No(s)/Mail Date 8/18/2003. | | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6 Other: | ate | O-152) | , | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/642,917

Art Unit: 1751

Claim 1 is pending in this application.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Instant claim 1 is objected to for containing improper Markush language. The examiner suggests that the phrase "selected from the group" should be rewritten as "selected from the group consisting of A, B and C" to meet the proper Markush language requirement. See MPEP 2173.05(h)(I). Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claim 1 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/465078, over claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/465278, over claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/730,469, over claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/465,304 and over claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/755744. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are

Application/Control Number: 10/642,917

Art Unit: 1751

not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the co-pending Applications No. 10/465078, 10/465278, 10/730,469, 10/755744 and 10/465,304 teach and disclose similar hair dyeing compositions on the basis of an oxidation dyestuff precursor reacting with peroxide wherein the compositions comprise at least one developing and/or coupling substance selected from a number of chemical compounds wherein 3-chloro-p-aminophenol compound is among these compounds as claimed in the instant claim 1, a) (see claim 1, a) of co-pending Application No. 10/465078), (see claim 1, a) of co-pending Application No. 10/465278), (see claim 1, a) of co-pending Application No. 10/755744 and (see claim 1, a) of co-pending Application No. 10/465304). Therefore, this is an obvious formulation.

Although, the claims of the co-pending Applications No. 10/465,304, 10/465,278, 10/730,469, 10/465078 and 10/755744 teach and disclose similar hair dyeing compositions, they are not identical to the instant claim, because the claims of the co-pending Applications No. 10/465,304, 10/465,278, 10/730/469, 10/465,078 and 10/755744 do not require that 2,4-diaminobenzene compound to be among the selected compounds as required by the instant claim. Therefore, the conflicting claims are not identical.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/642,917

Art Unit: 1751

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tamura et al. (US 5,015,260).

Tamura et al. (US' 260) teaches a hair dyeing composition comprising 2-chloro-4-aminophenol as claimed in claim 1 (see col. 10, lines 42-57 and Table 1). Tamura et al. (US' 260) teaches all the limitations of the instant claim. Therefore, the claim is anticipated by Tamura et al. (US' 260).

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Henkel KGAA[HENK] (DE 20017642 U1).

Henkel (DE' 642 U1) teaches a hair dyeing composition comprising 2-chloro-4-aminophenol as claimed in claim 1 (see abstract). Henkel (DE' 642 U1) teaches all the limitations of the instant claim. Therefore, the claim is anticipated by Henkel. (DE' 642 U1).

7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Golinski et al. (DE 19834657 C1).

Golinski et al. (DE' 657 C1) teaches a hair dyeing composition comprising 2-chloro-4-aminophenol as claimed in claim 1 (see abstract). Golinske et al. (DE' 657 C1) teaches all the limitations of the instant claim. Therefore, the claim is anticipated by Golinski et al. (DE' 657 C1).

8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Audousset et al. (US 5,578,087).

Audousset et al. (US' 087) teaches a hair dyeing composition comprising metaphenylenediamine (2,4-diaminobenzene) as claimed in claim 1 (see col. 6, line 8 and col. 7, Art Unit: 1751

Table). Audousset et al. (US' 087) teaches all the limitations of the instant claim. Therefore, the claim is anticipated by Audousset et al. (US' 087).

Conclusion

The remaining references listed on from 1449 have been reviewed and considered by the Examiner. Further, the prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure. (US 5,104,414), (US 5,693,101) and (US 4,865,619).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eisa B Elhilo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1315. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (8:00 -5:30) with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eisa Elhilo Patent Examiner Art Unit 1751

May 3, 2004